
## FORMAT FOR FILING 2ND APPEAL BEFORE WEST BENG INFORMATION COMMISSION

U/S 19 (3) of RTI Act, 2005

( Vide Circular No. 3008-WBIC/Admin-05/13 Dated: 20/08/2019

Name of the Appellant: ABHIJIT PALIT 11



Contents Not Verified

28 SEP 2022

(a) Contact No. 7680934241 31

(b) e-mail id: abhijitpalit 1234@gmail.com

(a) Date of filing of application for information under RTI Act 4 Date of filing of application for information under RTI Act (A self attested copy of RTI application should be attached.) 11/04/2022

(b) Whether application fee paid:

(i) If yes, mode of payment: ONLINE

(ii) If no, self attested photocopy of relevant certificate issued by the competent authority should be attached. N/A

Name of State Public Information Officer / State Assistant Public Information Officer to whom the application was made and address: Dy. REGISTRAR (ADMIN), CALCUTTA HIGH COURT 3, ESPLANADE ROW WEST, KOLKATA - 700001

(a) Whether RTI petition is transferred to another SPIO u/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005: Y/N 5]

If yes, name & address of such authority - THE LD. DISTRICT JUDGE, ALIPORE (please attach copy of transfer memo as above) SOUTH 24 PARGANAS, PIN-70002

Gist of the information sought for:

THE INFORMATION SOUGHT FOR ARE LISTED IN THE RTI APPLICATION & IN THE FIRST APPEAL.

Have you received any response / information? If so, attach a self-attested copy of the same.

ATTACHED

Date of filing 1<sup>st</sup> appeal: 07/06/2022

(A self attested copy of 1<sup>st</sup> appeal along with proof of submission of the same should be 81

ATTACHED

De January Laborary Port

- 9] Authority to whom the first appeal was made with address: REGISTRAR (ADMIN) CALCUTTA HIGH COURT 3, ESPLANADE, ROW WEST, KOLKATA - 700049
- Decision of the 1st Appellate Authority, if any, including number and date of the order: 10] (A self-attested photocopy of the order of the 1st Appellate Authority should be attached). 27/06/2022 ATTACHED
- Grounds for 2nd appeal and the relief sought (specify): DENIED JUSTICE DESPITE OF HAVING EVIDENCE. NO INFORMATION PROVIDED TO DATE AS REQUESTED IN RTIL FIRST APPEAL.
  - If 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal is filed after 90 days from the date on which the decision of the 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority was received or after 135 days from the date of filing of the 1<sup>st</sup> appeal, explain the reasons for the delay along with supporting documents.

Asher Ker. Palit

I ----- son / daughter of ------ aged 37 being an Indian citizen do hereby solemnly affirm that the statements made herein are true to my knowledge based on records and the rest are my submissions to the Hon'ble West Bengal Information Commission.

I also declare that this matter is not previously filed with this Commission nor is pending with any Court or Tribunal or Authority.

Place : KOLKATA

Date: 24/09/2022

ABHIJIT PALIT

Abhijit talit

(Name of the appellant)

List of documents to be enclosed: -

- (a) Self attested copy of address proof document.
- Self attested copy of RTI application;
- Self attested photocopy of proof of RTI fee payment or of BPL status;
- Self attested copy of 1st appeal;
- Self attested copy of all communications / replies received from the public authorities in connection with the instant RTI application;
- 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal in the format as above.
- Any other documents in support of the 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal.

#### NOTE:

- 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal is liable to be rejected if submitted without proper documents. 1]
- Separate 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal has to be filed in respect of each RTI application. 21

## WEST BENGAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Khadya Bhaban, 11A, Mirza Ghalib Street, Kolkata-700 087 Ph. No. (033)2252-0509

Fax No. (033) 2252-0501 Website: <u>www.wbic.gov.in</u> E-mail: <u>scic-wb@nic.in</u>

No. 2178-WBIC/RTI/302/22

DATE: 19.09.2022

*T*6:

Shri Abhijitg Palit, 68, M.B. Road, B-4, First Floor, Nimta, Kolkata – 700 049.

Sub: Appeal of Right to Information Act. 2005.

Ref: Your Appeal Dated 26.08.2022 received in this office on 31.08.2022.

Sir,

Your Appeal is returned herewith for the reason(s) marked below. You may submit the same after rectification.

 Complaint is not submitted in triplicate as per Circular No. 1522-WBIC/Admn-05/13 dated 13.08.2014 of West Bengal Information Commission.

2. Proof of paying of initial fee along with RTI application is not provided. ATTACHED

- 3. Copy of the application filed to the State Public Information Officer u/s 6(1) of the Act is not enclosed.
- 4. Complaint is filed earlier to the expiry of the time limit stipulated u/s 7(1) of the RTI Act.
- 5. Separate complaints are to be filed for each of the application u/s 6(1) of the Act.
- 6. Complaint is not signed by you.
- 7. Name / address not legible.

Yours sincerely,

Děpúty Secretary
West Bengal Information Commission

## WEST BENGAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Khadya Bhaban.

HA, Mirza Ghalib Street, Kolkata-700 087 Ph. No. (033)2252-0509, Fax No. (033) 2252-0501

Website : www.wbio.gov in E-mail : wie wbii nie in

## No. 2038-WBiC/RTI/MISC/BG

, To:

Shri Abhijit Palit. 68. M.B. Road. B-4, First Floor.

Nimta.

Kolkata '00 049,

Sub: Your Appeal u/s 19(3) of Right to Information Act, 2005.

Ref: Your Appeal Dated 25,08,2022 received in this office vide Docket No 3152 dated 3 : 08,2022

Sir.

Your above noted Appeal is not admitted for the reason(s) marked below.

- Action taken by Information provided by the public authority has been accepted by the Commission.
- 2. Proof of paying of initial fee along with RTI application proof of BPL category is not provided.

Copy of the RTI application filed to the State Public Information Officer u/s 6(1) of the Act is not enclosed. ATTACHED

- 4. Copy of first appeal u.s. 19(1) to the First Appellate Authority is not enclosed.
- 5. First appeal filed was not filed within the time stipulated u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 and consequently this second appeal cannot be entertained.
- 6. Separate Appeal has to be filed in respect of each of the application filed u/s 6(1) of the Act.
- 7. The 2<sup>nd</sup> Appeal is not filed within the time stipulated u/s 19(3) of the Act.
- 8. Appeal Complaint is not signed by you.
- 9. Name / Address is not legible.

Yours sincerely,

DATE: 08.09.2022

Deputy Secretary
West Bengal Information Commission

30/08/2022 2ND APPEAL

... ac. on www.ucolacost.gov.in 

EN224008715IN IVR:6987224008715 SF BIRATI SO (700051) Counter No:1.30/08/2022.12:30 To: THE U B INFOR, KOLKATA PIN:700087. Hew Market SO From: ABHIJIT FALIT, KOLKATA Mt:108gms Ami:29,50(Cash)Tax:4,50 Track to run indiana

30/08/2022

	( Vide Circular No. 3008-W BIC Admin-05/13 Dated: 20/08/2019 )			
ij	Name of the Appellant: A E-1000 F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F			
2]	Complete postal address: 68 MGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG			
3]	(a) Contact No. 7(80934241			
	obje-mailid: abhajityalit12542-yenad, arm			
4]	(a) Date of filing of application for information under RTI Act (A self attested copy of RTI application should be attached.)			
	(b) Whether application fee paid: Y/N			
	(i) If yes, mode of payment: ( ) L. II. F.			
	(ii) If no, self attested photocopy of relevant certificate issued by the competent authority should be attached.			
<b>i</b> ]	Name of State Public Information Officer / State Assistant Public Information Officer to whom the application was made and address: (1) 1 E 10 TO FOR A CONTAINED TO THE ADDRESS OF THE AD			

(a) Whother RTI petition is transferred to another SPIO u's 6(3) of the RTI Act. 2005: WYN

-THE AN DICTION TO WARE,
ALIFORE, SOUTH SY PARAGINAS,
FIN-YOUSE If yes, name & address of such authority (please attach copy of transfer memo as above) Gist of the information sought for:

The information except for any listed in the RTI application

Have you received any response / information?  $\{y_i\}_i$  If so, attach a self-attested copy of the same. 7]

ATTACHED

61

Date of filing 1st appeal: 67/66/2022

(A self attested copy of 1st appeal along with proof of submission of the same should be 8] attached). ATTACHED

91 Authority to whom the first appeal was made with address: REGISTRAR (ADMIR) CALCUTTA HIGH COURT 3, ESPLANADE ROCK WEST, KOLKATA - 700049

Decision of the 1st Appellate Authority, if any, including number and date of the order: (A self-attested photocopy of the order of the 1st Appellate Authority should be attached). 16] ATTACHEL

Grounds for 2nd appeal and the relief sought (specify): 117

Ne information polovided to date as inequested un

If 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal is filed after 90 days from the date on which the decision of the 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority was received or after 135 days from the date of filing of the 1<sup>st</sup> appeal, explain the 12] reasons for the delay along with supporting documents.

I aged 27 being an Indian citizen do hereby solemnly affirm that the statements made herein are true to my knowledge based on records and the rest are my submissions

I also declare that this matter is not previously filed with this Commission nor is pending with any Court or Tribunal or Authority.

Place: KOLKATA

Signature of the appellant

Date: 25/08/2022

ARAIDIT PALIT

List of elocuments to be enclosed : -

- (a) Self attested copy of address proof document.
- (b) Self attested copy of RTI application:
- ce) Self attested photocopy of proof of RTI fee payment or of BPL status;
- d) Self attested copy of 1st appeal;
- (e) Self attested copy of all communications / replies received from the public authorities in connection with the instant RTI application;  $\sqrt{1}$   $2^{nd}$  appeal in the format as above.
- g) Any other documents in support of the 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal.

2nd appeal is liable to be rejected if submitted without proper documents. 2

Separate 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal has to be filed in respect of each RTI application.



भारत सरकारे डिज्यासम्बद्धाः जो भारति



অভিজিত পালিত Abhijit Palit জন্মতানিয় / DOB: 06/04/1985 পুরুষ / Male

instruction




3150 7108 6600 मेरा कार्या, मेरी पहचान

भारतिक विदिष्टः पहुंचान प्राधिकरण Unique Identification Authority of India

শ্বর্ক [ঠুলানা: 63, এম.মি.এেডে, নিমন্তা, নর্য দাসমে (এম), উত্তর ২৪ পর্যাবনা, পশ্চিম দাস, 700049

Address: 68, M.B. ROAD, NIMTA, North Dumdum (m), North 24 Parganas, West Eengal, 700049



3150 7108 6600

inelp@uldal.gov.in

📵 www.uldal.gov.in



# The Right to Information Act 2005 Application for obtaining information

#### From

Abhijit Palit 68, M.B. ROAD, B-4, FIRST FLOOR, NIMTA, KOLKATA - 700049 Phone: 7680934241

## To

The State Public Information Officer THE DY. Registrar (ADMIN) CALCUTTA HIGH COURT 3,Esplanade Row West Kolkata Pin CODE – 700001

SUB: Case number: AC-272 OF 2017

Dear Public Information Officer:

Under the Right to Information Act 2005, Section 6, I need some information. The details of the information are as follows

## 1. Details of the applicant

Name: Abhijit Palit

Email: abhijitpalit1234@gmail.com

Phone: 7680934241

Address: 68, M.B. ROAD, B-4,

FIRST FLOOR,

NIMTA, KOLKATA - 700049

2. Period to which the information relates: 2017-2022

#### 3. Details of Information

#### BACKGROUND:

My Case Number AC-272 OF 2017 is under the Judgeship of the Honourable LD. 2ND JM, Alipore, Kolkata, South 24 Parganas which is under the jurisdiction of the Honourable LD. District Judge, South 24 Parganas.

The un-investigated and non-verified DIR has been submitted by the then Protection Officer in this Court dated 16.05.2017 which was after the Ex-parte decree of Divorce dated 24.04.2017 based on U/s 13(1)(ia)(ib). That Your Honours, the Protection Officer has never made any endeavour to contact the respondent and his aged parents to know their version against the version of the petitioner, thus the DIR is absolutely biased, false, baseless, un-investigated and concocted. That Your Honours, the respondent and his aged parents have suffered an irreparable loss and injury for passing and granting interim relief on the basis of such biased, false, baseless, un-investigated and concocted DIR. Had the Protection Officer investigated and enquired properly, the truth must have come out which might have helped this LD. Court to reach a true, fair and unbiased decision at the time of disposing of the interim application. The DIR was never verified and investigated by the Protection Officer and the same was never summoned to the respondent and his aged parents. Neither the respondent nor his parents were given any fair opportunity of being heard which is a violation of the Fundamental Rights as mentioned in the Article 14 and in the Article 21 of the Indian Constitution.

In the light of the above mentioned background, please provide the following information under section 2(f) and 2(j) of the RTI act:

- The reasons as per the records for not referring the two notable judgements in my case Priti Gupta vs Promod Gupta on 10 February, 2017 and Santosh Bakshi vs State Of Punjab And Ors on 30 June, 2014.
- The reason for delaying justice to me and my aged parents despite of providing evidence against the entire DIR on 30.11.2021.
- The reason for harassing me despite of knowing the fact that post the ex-parte decree of divorce dated 24.04.2017, I got remarried on 04.05.2018 to Mrs. Lipika Palit and is also having an infant child aged 5 months.

- The reason for not allowing me and my parents in visiting my son from the previous marriage despite of transferring money from the SBI ACCOUNT (respondent) to the BOB ACCOUNT (petitioner) over a certain period of time. I would also like to know the name of the school in which my son is studying, the class in which my son is reading and the fees structure of the school.
- The reason for granting interim maintenance to the ex-wife vide order dated 27.12.2018 without providing a single evidence related to the physical violence, verbal and emotional abuse, economic negligence, mental abuse, and sexual abuse.
- The reason for overlooking the ex-parte decree of divorce order dated \*24.04.2017 based on U/s 13(1)(ia)(ib) vide order no. MAT SUITE 248 of 2016.
- The reason for overlooking the DIR challenge on 24.08.2017.
- The reason for overlooking the DIR challenge on 30.11.2021. This application contains all the relevant evidence against the entire DIR. In this DIR challenge application physical violence, verbal and emotional abuse, economic negligence, mental abuse, emotional abuse have been falsified with evidence.
- The reason for overlooking the point number 7 & 8 in the DIR challenge application dated 30.11.2021 where it is mentioned with dates when and where the police complaints and the GDEs have been registered against the petitioner and her parental relatives.
- The reason for overlooking the point a wife who has deserted her husband willfully and without any sufficient and cogent reason is not entitled for any maintenance. This has already been proven in the ex-parte decree of divorce order on 24.04.2017.
- The reason for overlooking the fact that the petitioner being highly qualified (B.A., M.A., M.PHIL appeared, C.TET appeared, B.ED as mentioned in her statement) is expected to appear in the Court with clean hands but she has appeared in the Court with unclean hands. The petitioner has worked at the Kendriya Vidyalaya Balasore, Odisha, Pin 756001 (Gross Salary Rs. 21,000/- P.M., Net Salary Rs. 18,750/- P.M.). The petitioner has also worked at Maharishi Vidyamandir, Shilpa Park, Kondapur, Hyderabad, Pin 500084 (Net Salary Rs.

12,000/- P.M., Gross Salary Rs. 14,000/-). As such she is eligible and capable enough to maintain herself and hence she is not entitled to any maintenance or monetary compensation from the respondent.

## 4. Application fee details

Encl. Application Fee of Rs 10/- by IPO No.By Court Fee Stamp Pay To: Accounts Officer

## 5. Below Items are for your kind information and consideration

a. As per section 6(3) of the RTI Act 2005, In case, the requested information is held by another public authority, I request the PIO to transfer the application or part of it within FIVE days and immediately inform me about such transfer.

b. As per section 7(3) of the RTI Act 2005, In case, there are further fee required to provide the requested information, I request the PIO to inform me of the additional fee amount along with the calculations made to arrive at the amount.

c. As per section 7(8)(iii) and 7(3)(ii) of the RTI Act 2005, I request the PIO to inform me of the particulars of First Appellate Authority.

#### 6. Declaration

I declare that I am a citizen of India.

Yours faithfully,

Abhijit Palit

Monday, April 11th 2022

1092506






## Payment successful for OnlineRTI.com

1 message

Payments <no-reply@razorpay.com> Reply to: support@onlinerti.com To: abhijitpalit1234@gmail.com

Mon, 4 Apr 2022 at 23:26



₹589.00

Paid Successfully

Payment Id

pay\_JFMv4k6WUZIAvp

Method

UPI

Paid On

4th Apr, 2022

Email

abhijitpalit1234@gmail.com

**Mobile Number** 

+917680934241

For any order related queries please reach out to OnlineRTI.com at support@onlinerti.com

Abhijit Polit Please report this payment if you find it to be suspicious or fraudulent Payment Report Payment

Powered By aRazorpay



## The Right to Information Act, 2005

## First Appeal for State Government

I.D No	Date:	[For	office	use]

To,

The First Appellate Authority under RTI Act

Registrar (Admin)
Calcutta High Court
3,Esplanade Row West
Kolkata
Pin CODE – 700001

Sir,

As I am aggrieved by no decision of State Public Information Officer, I hereby file this appeal for your kind decision.

- 1. Details of appellant
  - 1.1 Full Name: Abhijit Palit
  - 1.2 Full Address:

68, M.B. ROAD, B-4, FIRST FLOOR, NIMTA, KOLKATA - 700049

- 1.3 Phone/Cell No: 7680934241
- 1.4 Email ID: abhijitpalit1234@gmail.com

- 2. Details of State Public Information Officer (SPIO)
  - 2.1 Name/Designation: Public Information Officer
  - 2.2 Full Address:

THE DY. Registrar (ADMIN)
CALCUTTA HIGH COURT
3,Esplanade Row West
Kolkata Pin CODE - 700001

3. Details of RTI application to SPIO

Date of Application: Monday, April 11th 2022

Mailed on: 11/04/2022 by Registered Post No.: EK309316725IN

Date of receipt by SPIO: 16/04/2022

4. Particulars of payment of filing fee:

Paid Rs.10/- by bank draft/pay slip/IPO No: By Court Fee Stamp

5. Details of information sought:

#### BACKGROUND:

My Case Number AC-272 OF 2017 is under the Judgeship of the Honourable LD. 2ND JM, Alipore, Kolkata, South 24 Parganas which is under the jurisdiction of the Honourable LD. District Judge, South 24 Parganas.

The un-investigated and non-verified DIR has been submitted by the then Protection Officer in this Court dated 16.05.2017 which was after the Ex-parte decree of Divorce dated 24.04.2017 based on U/s 13(1)(ia)(ib). That Your Honours, the Protection Officer has never made any endeavour to contact the respondent and his aged parents to know their version against the version of the petitioner, thus the DIR is absolutely biased, false, baseless, un-investigated and concocted. That Your Honours, the respondent and his aged parents have suffered an

irreparable loss and injury for passing and granting interim relief on the basis of such biased, false, baseless, un-investigated and concocted DIR. Had the Protection Officer investigated and enquired properly, the truth must have come out which might have helped this LD. Court to reach a true, fair and unbiased decision at the time of disposing of the interim application. The DIR was never verified and investigated by the Protection Officer and the same was never summoned to the respondent and his aged parents. Neither the respondent nor his parents were given any fair opportunity of being heard which is a violation of the Fundamental Rights as mentioned in the Article 14 and in the Article 21 of the Indian Constitution.

In the light of the above mentioned background, please provide the following information under section 2(f) and 2(j) of the RTI act:

- 1) The reasons as per the records for not referring the two notable judgements in my case Priti Gupta vs Promod Gupta on 10 February, 2017 and Santosh Bakshi vs State Of Punjab And Ors on 30 June, 2014.
- 2) The reason for delaying justice to me and my aged parents despite of providing evidence against the entire DIR on 30.11,2021.
- 3) The reason for harassing me despite of knowing the fact that post the exparte decree of divorce dated 24.04.2017, I got remarried on 04.05.2018 to Mrs. Lipika Palit and is also having an infant child aged 5 months.
- 4) The reason for not allowing me and my parents in visiting my son from the previous marriage despite of transferring money from the SBI ACCOUNT (respondent) to the BOB ACCOUNT (petitioner) over a certain period of time. I would also like to know the name of the school in which my son is studying, the class in which my son is reading and the fees structure of the school.
- 5) The reason for granting interim maintenance to the ex-wife vide order dated 27.12.2018 without providing a single evidence related to the physical violence, verbal and emotional abuse, economic negligence, mental abuse, and sexual abuse.
- 6) The reason for overlooking the ex-parte decree of divorce order dated 24.04.2017 based on U/s 13(1)(ia)(ib) vide order no. MAT SUITE 248 of 2016.

- 7) The reason for overlooking the DIR challenge on 24.08.2017.
- 8) The reason for overlooking the DIR challenge on 30.11.2021. This application contains all the relevant evidence against the entire DIR. In this DIR challenge application physical violence, verbal and emotional abuse, economic negligence, mental abuse, emotional abuse have been falsified with evidence.
- 9) The reason for overlooking the point number 7 & 8 in the DIR challenge application dated 30.11.2021 where it is mentioned with dates when and where the police complaints and the GDEs have been registered against the petitioner and her parental relatives.
- 10) The reason for overlooking the point a wife who has deserted her husband willfully and without any sufficient and cogent reason is not entitled for any maintenance. This has already been proven in the ex-parte decree of divorce order on 24.04.2017.
- 11) The reason for overlooking the fact that the petitioner being highly qualified (B.A., M.A., M.PHIL appeared, C.TET appeared, B.ED as mentioned in her statement) is expected to appear in the Court with clean hands but she has appeared in the Court with unclean hands. The petitioner has worked at the Kendriya Vidyalaya Balasore, Odisha, Pin 756001 (Gross Salary Rs. 21,000/- P.M., Net Salary Rs. 18,750/- P.M.). The petitioner has also worked at Maharishi Vidyamandir, Shilpa Park, Kondapur, Hyderabad, Pin 500084 (Net Salary Rs. 12,000/- P.M., Gross Salary Rs. 14,000/-). As such she is eligible and capable enough to maintain herself and hence she is not entitled to any maintenance or monetary compensation from the respondent.

## 6. Particulars of Decision of SPIO:

No response received

#### 7. Brief facts of the case:

The reasons as per the records for not referring the two notable judgements in my case - Priti Gupta vs Promod Gupta on 10 February, 2017 and Santosh Bakshi vs State Of Punjab And Ors on 30 June, 2014.

The copy of the RTI application has been attached herewith as Annexure 1.

- 3. Reasons/grounds for this appeal:
- 1. As there was no reply from the Public Information Officer, to the RTI application dated 11/04/2022 within 30 days, an appeal is preferred before the First Appellate Authority under the Section 19 (1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005.
- 2. Under the RTI Act, 2005, a PIO is obliged to provide access to information to a citizen unless as stipulated by Section 7 (1), furnishing of such information is covered by one of the exemptions provided under Section 8 or Section 9 of the RTI Act.
- 3. The PIO has failed to discharge its duties and obligations and has adopted a lackadaisical approach towards dealing with the RTI application by not responding within 30 days of the application.
- 4. The PIO has failed to inform the applicant as to why this information has not been disclosed to the applicant and its reasons thereof. Section 4 (1) (d) of the RTI Act clearly lays down that every public authority shall have to disclose the reasons for its decisions to the affected persons.
- 5. It is obligatory upon the PIO to disclose the information as requested by the applicant. The request of the applicant squarely falls within the definition of right to information under Section 2 (j) of RTI Act read with Section 2 (f) and 2 (i) relating to the definition of information and record. The applicant in his RTI application has clearly enumerated the information which he desires and there is no ground whatsoever for the PIO to not to provide him the complete information.

This First Appeal is accompanied by an application for condonation of delay in filing the First Appeal within the stipulated time as prescribed in Section 19 (1) of the RTI Act, 2005 at Annexure 2.

9 Any other information in support of appeal:

No

10 Prayer/relief sought for:

The PIO may be directed to furnish information requested in the RTI application as available in the files/records and made available to the applicant.

11. Personal Presence at hearing: NO

12. Enclosures:

Photocopies of original RTI application with its enclosures, postal proof of mailing

13. Declaration:

I hereby state that the information and particulars given above are true to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also declare that this matter is not previously filed with any information commission nor is pending with any Court or tribunal or authority.

Signature of appellant

Tuesday, June 7th 2022

1092506



FIRST APPEAL

## Payment successful for OnlineRTI

1 message

Payments <no-reply@razorpay.com> Reply to: no-reply@razorpay.com To: abhijitpalit1234@gmail.com Sat, 4 Jun 2022 at 09:15



## ₹589.00

Paid Successfully

Payment Id

pay\_JdH2UA063sjxnx

Method

UPI

7680934241@ibi

Paid On

4th Jun, 2022

Email

abhijitpalit1234@gmail.com

Mobile Number

+917680934241

For any order related queries please reach out to OnlineRTI

Please report this payment if you find it to be suspicious or fraudulent Report Payment

Powered By ARazorpay

Mbhijix Polit

## Memo No. 1040 - G.S

Docket No. 185/22

From:

The Deputy Registrar (Administration)-i/c & Public Information Officer,
Hon'ble High Court, Appellate Side, Calcutta.

To, The Ld. District Judge, Alipore, South 24 Parganas, PIN – 700 027.

Dated, Calcutta, the 20th April, 2022.

Re: An application filed by Shri Abhijit Palit under the Right to Information Act, 2005 dated 11/04/2022 and received by us on 18/04/2022.

Sir,

The above R.T.I. application (in original) is being transferred herewith to your end under Section 6(3) of the R.T.I. Act, 2005 for further necessary action as it is closely related to your judgeship. You are also requested to communicate the information directly to the applicant.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

Enclo: As above.

Deputy Registrar (Administration)-i/c & Public Information Officer, Hon'ble High Court, Appellate Side, Calcutta.

Memo No. 1041 - G.S.

Dated, Calcutta, the 20th April, 2022.

Copy forwarded for information to:

Shri Abhijit Palit, 68, M.B. Road, B-4, First Floor, P.S. – Nimta, Kolkata, PIN - 700049. You are requested to approach the above authority for further communication.

By Order.

Assistant Registrar (Information).

## Memo No. 1594 - G.S.

Docket No. 267/22

From:

The Deputy Registrar (Administration) -i/c, & Public Information Officer. Hon'ble High Court, Appellate Side, Calcutta.

To Shri Abhijit Palit 68, M. B. Road. B-4, First Floor, P.S. - Nimta, Kolkata, PIN - 700049.

Dated, Calcutta, the 29th June, 2022.

Re: Your First Appeal dated NIL u/s 19 (1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 in connection with your R.T.I. application dated 11/04/2022.

Sir,

With reference to the above, I am directed to forward herewith the copy of the Order dated 27/06/2022 passed by Ld. Registrar Administration (L&OM) cum First Appellate Authority in connection with the aforesaid Appeal for your information. You are further requested to approach to the office of the Ld. District Judge, Alipore, South 24 Parganas.

Enclo: Photocopy of the said Order. Yours faithfully, 3

Debairs Bahene 29/6/2012

Deputy Registrar (Administration) & Public Information Officer,

Hon'ble High Court, Appellate Side, Calcutta.

# IN THE OFFICE OF THE R.T.I. ACT, 2005 FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE R.T.I. ACT, 2005 R.T.I. APPEAL NO. -267/2022

Present:

Shri Umesh Singh,

Registrar Administration (L&OM).

Appellate Side, High Court.

Calcutta

&

First Appellate Authority Under the RT1 Act, 2005.

An appeal under Section 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 read with the Calcutta High Court (Right to Information) Rules, 2006.

Name of the Appellant/Applicant: Shri Abhijit Palit,

68, M.B Road, B-4, First Floor,

P.S-Nimta, Kolkata,

PIN-700049

Name of the Public Authority: Public Information Officer, High Court, Appellate Side, Calcutta

cum

Deputy Registrar (Administration), Appellate Side, High Court, Calcutta.

Order No.-2 <u>Dated:- 27.06. 2022.</u>

The appellant/applicant Shri Abhijit Palit and the Deputy Registrar (Administration)-in-Charge & Public Information Officer, High Court, Appellate Side, Calcutta are present during hearing at 2.15 p.m on this day.

It appears from the report dated 18.06.2022 of the Assistant Registrar (Information) that the RTI application dated 11.04.2022 of the applicant Shri Abhijit Palit relates to his Case Number AC-272 of 2017 comes under the purview of the Learned District Judge, Alipore, South 24 Parganas.

Accordingly, the R.T.I application dated 11.04.2022 of the applicant Shri Abhijit Palit was transferred under section 6(3) of the R.T.I Act, 2005 to the office of the Learned District Judge, Alipore, South 24 Parganas vide office Memo No.-1040-G.S dated 20.04.2022 by the Deputy Registrar (Administration)-in-Charge & Public Information Officer, High Court, Appellate Side, Calcutta. The applicant was informed of the same vide office Memo No.-1041-G.S dated 20.04.2022 by the Assistant Registrar (Information), High Court, Appellate Side, Calcutta and was requested to approach the above authority for further communication.

It appears from the said report that the appellant/applicant Shri Abhijit Palit has not received any reply or information from the office of the Learned District Judge, Alipore, South 24 Parganas within the stipulated time and has thus resorted to this instant First Appeal before the undersigned seeking redressal for his cause.

Administration (Lawazima &Office Management) is the First Appellate Authority under the R.T.I Act, 2005 for matters pertaining to only the Appellate Side establishment of this Hon'ble Court. The Notification No.15209-G Dated 14.12.2009 issued by the Learned Registrar General of this Hon'ble Court and published in the Kolkta Gazette speaks in favour of this fact by stating that "Appellate Authority" shall mean the REGISTRAR (ADMINISTRATION) of the High Court at Calcutta in its Appellate Jurisdiction and the DISTRICT JUDGE in the jurisdiction of the Subordinate Courts of a District.

In view of the above, the instant First Appeal is dropped.


Deputy Registrar (Administration)-in-Charge & Public Information Officer, High Court, Appellate Side, Calcutta is directed to communicate this order to the appellant/applicant immediately stating that the appellant/applicant Shri Abhijit Palit must approach to the office of the Learned District Judge, Alipore, South 24 Parganas for redressal of his grievance.

Assistant Registrar (Information)
High Court A.S.
Calcutta

Registrar Administration (L&OM)

Œ

First Appellate Authority Under the RTI Act, 2005. 27.06.2022



## **Email**

n Gommissioner West Bengal State Chief Inform Contents Not Verified

2 8 SEP 2022

8

## RTI REPLY TO NISHI KANTA KOLEY

From: bllrokhandaghosh@gmail.com

Tue, Sep 27, 2022 05:19 PM

Subject: RTI REPLY TO NISHI KANTA KOLEY

1 attachment

To: State Chief Information Commissioner West Bengal <scic-wb@nic.in>

REF.: NO. 2137-WBIC/R/128/22 DATED 15.09.2022

RTI REPLY TO NISHI KANTA KOLEY.pdf 100 KB



## GOVERNMENT OF WEST BENGAL OFFICE OF THE BLOCK LAND & LAND REFORMS OFFICER KHANDAGHOSH BLOCK, PO-SAGRAI, PIN CÖDE-713424 PURBA BARDHAMAN

bllrokhandaghosh@gmail.com

Memo No.: RTI/ 606 /BL&LRO, Khanda/ 21

Date: 27/00/201

To Shri Nishi Kanta Koley, S/O- Late Gopi Krishna Koley, Vill-Munsabpur, PO- Wanri, Dist- Purba Bardhaman, Pin- 713142, M-9732070265.

Sub.:

Information under RTI Act'2005

Ref.:

No. 2137-WBIC/R/128/22 dated 15.09.2022 of the Law Officer &

Joint Registrar & SPIO, West Bengal Information Commission

With reference to the above subject, this is to inform that after profound haunting of Case Record of Conversion Case Nos. 219/14 & 191/19, no documents and relevant register have been traced in the Office.

Block Land and Land Reforms Officer
Khandaghosh, Purba Bardhaman

Memo No. : RTI/ 1606/ | /BL&LRO, Khanda/

Date 27/09202

Copy forwarded for kind information to:-

1) The Law Officer & Joint Registrar & SPIO, West Bengal Information Commission.

Block Land and Land Reforms Office and Reforms
Khandaghosh, Purba Bardhaman
Khandaghosh, Purba Bardhaman
Block Lam
Officer, Purba Bardhaman
Sagrai,