


To  
The State Information Commission  
WEST BENGAL  
Khadya bhawan complex, 11A, Mirza Ghalib St.  
Kolkata-700016



Ref: Appeal U/S 19 (3) of RTI Act, 2005 for non supplying information by SPIO and First Appellate Authority

1] Name of the Appellant: Sayanta Das  
Son of Shri Sukdeb Das

2] Complete postal address: 399/A,a.c.read,Indraprastha,PO-Khagra,Berhampore,  
Dist-Murshidabad,West Bengal,Pin-742103

3] (a) Contact No. 7501995540  
(b) e-mail id: sayantadas1990@gmail.com

4] (a) Date of filing of application for information under RTI Act: 30.12.2023  
(b) Whether application fee paid: YES  
(i) If yes, mode of payment: Postal Order

5] Name of State Public Information Officer to whom the application was made and address:

The State Public Information Officer, Joint Commissioner of Police (O), 18, Lalbazar Street,Kolkata-700001

(a) Whether RTI petition is transferred to another SPIO u/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 : YES,  
The Assistant Commissioner of Police & SPIO,Traffic Department, Kolkata Police

6] Information sought about : Kashinath Maji,S/O-Prankrishna Maji,Age-59, Vill-Akna  
Dwitiya,Post-Sonagachi, Udaynarayanpur, Pin-711226  
Working in Kolkata Police Traffic Dept

Gist of the information sought for:

a) Current Rank and Place of Posting of Kashinath Maji  
b) Gross Salary of Kashinath Maji for the month of December 2019  
c) Copy of Asset declaration submitted by Kashinath Maji as stood on 01.01.2020 & 01.01.2021

7] Have you received any response / information? Yes, Only received answer for a) and b), but information asked in c) denied by replying Exempted U/S 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act,2005

8] Date of filing 1st appeal: 08-02-2024

9] Authority to whom the first appeal was made with address: The First Appellate Authority, Addl Director General of Police,Traffic,18,Lalbazar Street,Kolkata-700001

The RTI petition then transferred to The deputy Commissioner of Police & Appellate Authority (RTI),Traffic Department,Kolkata Police on 16.02.2024

10] Decision of the 1st Appellate Authority, if any, including number and date of the order: No hearing conducted and information not provided in reply dated 20.03.2024 received by the Appellant on 26.03.2024.

11] Grounds for 2nd appeal and the relief sought:

The information of Asset declaration asked in C) was denied by the SPIO & First Appellate Authority citing exempted u/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI act 2005 though petitioner gave sufficient reason.The information sought will not be an exemption u/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI act 2005 as under:-

**a) Not Causing Unwarranted invasion of the privacy of Individual**

Information sought under RTI Act 2005 in relation to FIR 842/22 at Berhampore PS u/s 498a,323,3/4 D.P.Act,3316/22 SDM court Berhampore under sec 94, DV case 861/2022 filed before J.M.4th Court, Howrah under PWDVA 2005,filed by Moumita Maji, Daughter of Kashinath Maji with allegation of Dowry provided by Kashinath Maji to Sayanta Das.

Dowry details in FIR 842/22 at Berhampore PS lodged on 26.06.2022 is i)15 Bhari Gold ii)All the furniture iii)Brass items

Dowry details in 94 crpc as on 26.08.2022 is i)Many gold ornaments ii)2lakh Cash iii) Furniture include COT,Mattress,Wardrobe,Dressing table iv)Brass items

Dowry details in DV case 861/2022 as on 23.11.2022 is i)300gm gold ii)Cash of 1.69lakh iii)4lakh cash or through bank.

The source of dowry is Kashinath maji's income as he is the only earning person in his family and in every declaration Moumita Maji, daughter of Kashinath Maji mentioned her father has given dowry.

Kashinath maji in his statement u/s 161 (enclosed) admitted of giving dowry to Sayanta Das.

Kashinath maji Seized articles from the house of Sayanta Das.Signature of Kashinath maji present in Seizure list prepared by the Police u/s 94 crpc (enclosed).

**b) For Larger Public Interest**

The information should be given for larger public interest that providing dowry is a punishable offence under Dowry prevention act.As per supreme courts view "498a is a legal terrorism" and false dowry harassment case is to "Wreak Vengeance" on husband and other relatives.

The asset declaration by Kashinath Maji will make the picture clear that Kashinath Maji had the assets available for giving dowry or not at the time of marriage.

If the desired information is released,then a right message will be delivered before the society that no one is above the law,not even a police personnel.Even department cannot save a false claimant of dowry and the father in laws with Govt service will neither deal with dowry nor can bring false dowry claim before court.

**c) For Proving Innocence**

The information sought is purely basis of proving innocence of Sayanta Das, who is alleged second husband of Moumita Maji,daughter of Kashinath Maji and the above mentioned case is running by the declaration that Kashinath maji had given dowry to Sayanta Das and giving or taking dowry is a punishable offence.

CIC judgment for "Information which could prove innocence should be given" attached herewith.

**d) Judgment of CIC on Similar matter**

"The Commission on careful consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case holds that the information being held by the Public Authority on immovable assets of an employee cannot be termed as 'personal' and hence directs the PIO to provide the information as available with the Public Authority to the Complainant".

Previous judgments present where CIC had given the assets declaration which was denied (Attached herewith).The appellant prayes before your Honour to pass similar order to supply the information as it would be a great help to prove his innocence.

I Sayanta Das, son of Shri Sukdeb Das, aged 33, being an Indian citizen do hereby solemnly affirm that the statements made herein are true to my knowledge based on records and the rest are my submissions to the Hon'ble West Bengal Information Commission.

I also declare that this matter is not previously filed with this Commission nor is pending with any Court or Tribunal or Authority.

Place : Berhampore  
Date : 28.03.2024

*Sayanta Das*  
28/03/2024  
Signature of the appellant  
(Sayanta Das )

List of documents to be enclosed: -

1. Copy of RTI application on 30.12.2023 before SPIO
2. Copy of RTI transferred to SPIO, Traffic Department, Kolkata Police
3. Copy of RTI reply by SPIO
4. Copy of Application for First Appeal before Appellate Authority
5. Copy of RTI transferred to The deputy Commissioner of Police & Appellate Authority (RTI), Traffic Department, Kolkata
6. Copy of First appeal reply by Appellate Authority
7. Copy of FIR 842/22 at Berhampore PS
8. Copy of Statement of Kashinath Maji u/s 161 crpc for giving dowry
9. Copy of Search warrant with application under sec 94 vide memo no 3316/22 of SDM court Berhampore
10. Copy of seizure list of article seized from the house of Sayanta Das with sign by Kashinath Maji
10. Copy of DV case 861/2022 filed before J.M.4th Court, Howrah under PWDVA 2005
11. Copy of Judgment of CIC for providing information that can prove innocence
12. Copy of Judgment of CIC for providing assets of a public servant

**FORM A**  
(Sec Rule 7(1))  
**APPLICATION FOR OBTAINING INFORMATION**  
R.T. Information Act 2005.

ID No  
(For Office Use Only)

To  
The State Public Information Officer  
Joint Commissioner of Police (O),  
Kolkata  
18,Lalbazar Street  
Kolkata-700001

**Subject: Information sought under RTI Act 2005 in relation to FIR 842/22 at Berhampore PS under sec 498a,323,3/4 D.P.Act,3316/22 SDM court Berhampore under sec 94, DV case 861/2022 filed before J.M.4th Court, Howrah under PWDVA 2005,Moumita Maji vs Sayanta Das**

1. Name of the Applicant : SAYANTA DAS  
Address : 399/A, A.C.Road, Indraprastha, Berhampore, PO-Khagra,  
Dist-Murshidabad, West Bengal
2. Information sought about : Kashinath Maji,S/O-Prankrishna Maji,Age-59, Vill-Akna Dwitiya,  
Post-Sonagachi, Udaynarayanpur, Pin-711226  
Working in Kolkata Police Traffic Deptt
3. Particulars of Information Sought :
  - a) Current Rank and Place of Posting of Kashinath Maji
  - b) Gross Salary of Kashinath Maji for the month of December 2019
  - c) Copy of Asset declaration submitted by Kashinath Maji as stood on 01.01.2020 & 01.01.2021
4. The information sought is purely basis of proving innocence of Sayanta Das, who is alleged second husband of Moumita Maji,daughter of Kashinath Maji and the above mentioned case is running by the declaration that Kashinath maji had given dowry to Sayanta Das and giving or taking dowry is a punishable offence.
5. I, SAYANTA DAS, hereby state that the information sought is not covered under the categories which are exempted from discloser of information under section 8 or under section 9 of the Right to Information Act 2005 and to the best of my knowledge.
6. I, Sayanta Das S/O- Sukdeb Das of 399/A A.C.Road, Indraprastha, P.O. Khagra, P.S. Berhampore, Dist Murshidabad, PIN- 742103 am a citizen of India.
7. Fees of Rs. 20/- (Postal Order) have been deposited. You are also requested to kindly email me a copy of reply for speedy communication at [sayantadas1990@gmail.com](mailto:sayantadas1990@gmail.com) if possible.

Attachments:-i) FIR 842/22 at Berhampore PS  
ii) Statement of Kashinath Maji u/s 161 crpc for giving dowry  
iii) Search warrant 3316/22 SDM court Berhampore under sec 94  
iv) DV case 861/2022 filed before J.M.4th Court, Howrah under PWDVA 2005  
v) Judgement of CIC for providing information that can prove innocence  
vi) Judgement of CIC for providing assets of a public servant  
vii) Judgement of CIC for obtaining information of sub-judice matter through RTI

Place:- Berhampore  
Date:- 30/12/2023

Sayanta Das  
28/03/2024

Sayanta Das  
20/12/2023  
Signature

**FORM A**  
 (Sec Rule 7(1))  
**APPLICATION FOR OBTAINING INFORMATION**  
 R.T. Information Act 2005.

ID No  
 (For Office Use Only)

To  
 The State Public Information Officer  
 Joint Commissioner of Police (O),  
 Kolkata  
 18,Lalbazar Street  
 Kolkata-700001

**Subject: Information sought under RTI Act 2005 in relation to FIR 842/22 at Berhampore PS under sec 498a,323,3/4 D.P.Act,3316/22 SDM court Berhampore under sec 94, DV case 861/2022 filed before J.M.4th Court, Howrah under PWDVA 2005,Moumita Maji vs Sayanta Das**

1. Name of the Applicant : SAYANTA DAS  
 Address : 399/A, A.C.Road, Indraprastha, Berhampore, PO-Khagra,  
 Dist-Murshidabad, West Bengal
2. Information sought about : Kashinath Maji,S/O-Prankrishna Maji,Age-59, Vill-Akna Dwitiya,  
 Post-Sonagachi, Udaynarayanpur, Pin-711226  
 Working in Kolkata Police Traffic Deptt
3. Particulars of Information Sought :
  - a) Current Rank and Place of Posting of Kashinath Maji
  - b) Gross Salary of Kashinath Maji for the month of December 2019
  - c) Copy of Asset declaration submitted by Kashinath Maji as stood on 01.01.2020 & 01.01.2021

4. The information sought is purely basis of proving innocence of Sayanta Das, who is alleged second

जन्मपत्रा COUNTERFOIL

इसे फालक ग्रेहक अपने पास रख ले।  
 To be detached and kept  
 by the Sender.

पोस्टल आर्डर  
 रुपए 20.00 Rs.  
 POSTAL ORDER



किसे काम करना  
 To whom payable Joint

Commissioner of Police

किस इकाय में  
 At what Office Esplanade

जब इसे काम किया है  
 Whether crossed

देने की तारीख  
 Date sent 30/12/2023



प्राप्त भावार पोस्ट मास्टर

प्रधान प्रबन्धक DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POSTS.  
 PAY TO Joint Commissioner of Police.

Kolkata

पौर संख्या की रकम THE SUM OF RUPEES TWENTY ONLY




AT THE POST OFFICE AT

Esplanade

के हाथपात्र में आदा करें।

इस तारीख से नीचे का लिखा नहीं। DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE



कमीशन COMMISSION रुपया 1.00 RUPEE  
 ग्रेहक ग्रन्ता नाम और पता पड़ो लिख ले।  
 UNDER MAY FILL IN HIS NAME AND ADDRESS HERE.

SAYANTA DAS

399/A, A.C. ROAD, INDRAPRASTHA  
 BERHAMPORE, MURSHIDABAD-74103

06G 435352

06G 435352

iv) DV case 861/2022 filed before J.M.4th Court, Howrah under PWDVA 2005

v) Judgement of CIC for providing information that can prove innocence

vi) Judgement of CIC for providing assets of a public servant

vii) Judgement of CIC for obtaining information of sub-judice matter through RTI

Place:- Berhampore  
 Date:- 30/12/2023

Sayanta Das  
 28/03/2024

Sayanta Das  
 30/12/2023  
 Signature

Government of West Bengal  
Office of the Commissioner of Police, Kolkata,  
Report (RTI) Section,  
18, Lalbazar Street, Kolkata-700 001.

Memo No. 01/24 /RPT+RTI+Enclo

Dated 04/01/24

From: The Lt. Commissioner of Police (E),  
& SPIO, Kolkata Police.

To: The Assistant Commissioner of Police,  
& SPIO, Traffic Department  
Kolkata Police.

Sub: In transferring u/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Ref: Commissioner's notification No: 01/RPT+RTI(R-4989-16) dated 30.11.2016,  
published in the KPG dated 02.12.2016, 05.12.2016 & 06.12.2016.

Enclosed please find the RTI petition /petitions in original/copy of the following applicant/applicants on the subject cited above. The same is /are sent to your end on the jurisdiction, u/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

You are requested to arrange for disposal of this case /these cases through SPIO of jurisdiction as per proviso of the RTI Act, 2005, in regard to the queries relevant to your end.

Sl. No	Name	ID No	Mode of fees paid	In case of IPO/DD/BC whether attached in original.
	Sayanta Das	1385276	PPD AD. 56042532(20p)	Yes

Sdt

for Lt. Commissioner of Police (E), Kolkata &  
State Public Information Officer,  
Kolkata Police.

Memo No. 295 /RPT+RTI  
R- 01/24

Dated 04/01/24

Copy to: Sayanta Das, 303/A, A.C. Road, Indrapuram,  
Berhampore, Muzaffabad, P.O.-Khagria, Pin-741203,

information and to make further correspondence with the addressee, if so desired. Moreover requested to visit the Kolkata Police, Website ([www.kolkatapolice.gov.in](http://www.kolkatapolice.gov.in)) for the details of different divisional Appellate Authorities, State Public information Officers and State Information officers of Kolkata Police.

04/01/24

for Lt. Commissioner of Police (E), Kolkata &  
State Public Information Officer,  
Kolkata Police.

Sayanta Das  
28/03/2024

Registered Post.

Government of West Bengal  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Traffic Department, Kolkata,  
18, Lalbazar Street, Kolkata-700001.

Memo No. SPIO/TP/RTI/08/24

Dated-31.01.2024.

From- Sri Ujjal Mukherjee,  
Assistant Commissioner of Police (XVI)  
& State Public Information Officer  
Traffic Department, Kolkata Police,  
18, Lalbazar Street, Kolkata-700001.

To- Sayanta Das  
399/A, A.C. Road,  
Indraprastha, Berhampore,  
P.O.-Khagra,  
Dist.-Murshidabad.

Sub: Information sought for under RTI Act, 2005.

Ref: Your RTI petition dated-30.12.2023 received by this office on 04.01.2024.

In reference to the above, furnishing the reply underneath on the basis of the report furnished by the Reserve Officer and Treasurer of Traffic Department, Kolkata Police.

Q.3. a) Current Rank-Police Driver and present posting at Traffic Department, Kolkata Police.  
Q.3. b) Gross Salary for the month of December, 2019 of Kashinath Maji is Rs.50833/-  
Q.3. c) Exempted U/S 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Date- 31.01.2024.


U-  
31.01.24.

(UJJAL MUKHERJEE)  
Assistant Commissioner of Police (XVI)

&  
State Public Information Officer  
Traffic Department, Kolkata Police.  
State Public Information Officer  
Traffic Department, Kolkata Police.

Sayanta Das  
02/03/2024

To  
 The First Appellate Authority under RTI  
 Addl. Director General of Police, Traffic  
 18, Lalbazar Street  
 Kolkata-700001  
 West Bengal



**Subject: First appeal for not providing Information sought under RTI Act 2005**

Respected Sir

It is to inform you that myself Sayanta das, C/O Shri Sukdeb das, 399/A, A.C. Road, Indraprastha, Berhampore, Dist- Murshidabad, Pin-742103 sought for some information from Traffic Department section on 30.12.2023 through RTI and one information was denied and not provided to me, which may provide me relief in criminal allegations in the alleged FIR 842/22 lodged at Berhampore P.S. on 26.06.2022 & domestic violence case 861/2022, Sayanta Das VS Moumita Maji, filed before J.M. 4<sup>th</sup> court, Howrah.

The details are as follows:-

Sl No	Particulars of the information sought:	Objection on Reply by SPIO
a.	Current Rank and Place of Posting of Kashinath Maji	No objection. Details provided to me
b.	Gross Salary of Kashinath Maji for the month of December 2019	No objection. Details provided to me
c.	Copy of Asset declaration submitted by Kashinath Maji as stood on 01.01.2020 & 01.01.2021	"Exempted u/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act,2005

**Grounds for appeal:** i) The petitioner is the accused person in the above mentioned case and has the right to get the required information to get relief in criminal allegations. The petitioner seeks reference of RTI act 7(1) for the information sought for concerns the liberty of a person and Observation of Central Information Commission for "Information which could prove innocence should be given".

ii) The petitioner is the Son-in-Law of Kashinath Maji, and in 161 statement before police for FIR u/s 498a,323 and 3/4 D.P.Act. Kashinath Maji claimed that he had given dowry to the petitioner Sayanta Das. This has established direct relationship between Kashinath Maji and the petitioner, and the sought information will not invade privacy of an individual i.e. Kashinath Maji.

iii) Giving or taking dowry is a punishable offence and Kashinath Maji acknowledged of giving dowry. Hence disclosing the asset under the Lokpal Law and RTI will bring clarity to the dowry case and send message to the society that no one is above law, not even police personnel.

If the asset declaration is not provided, then a foul message will be sent to the society that, Police Department cover tracks of its employee's misconduct.

iv) There are previous instance of example remains where Asset declaration of a Govt Employee is provided through RTI by CIC which were denied under section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act,2005. (Attached herewith)

Hence there is no relatable logic for not providing the remaining information is coming out.

**Prayer:-** The petitioner again request your kind self to provide the sought details in a certified way at earliest.

Fees of Rs. 20/- (Court Fees) has been deposited and I am ready to pay extra fee if necessary. You are also requested to kindly email me a copy of reply for speedy communication at [savantadas1990@gmail.com](mailto:savantadas1990@gmail.com) if possible.

Encl:-

1. RTI details sought by the petitioner Sayanta Das
2. Details provided by the SPIO, Traffic Dept, Kolkata Police
3. FIR 842/22 at Berhampore PS
4. Statement of Kashinath Maji u/s 161 crpc for giving dowry
5. Search warrant 3316/22 SDM court Berhampore under sec 94
6. DV case 861/2022 filed before J.M.4th Court, Howrah under PWDVA 2005
7. Judgement of CIC for providing information that can prove innocence
8. Judgement of CIC for providing assets of a public servant

Yours Faithfully

*Sayanta Das*  
 Sayanta Das  
 08/02/2024

Place:- Berhampore  
 Date:- 08.02.2024

399/A.A.C.Road, Indraprastha,  
 Berhampore, Dist-Murshidabad  
 Pin-742103, West Bengal

*Sayanta Das*  
 28/03/2024

Office of the Commissioner of Police, Kolkata,  
Report (RTI) Section,  
18, Lalbazar Street, Kolkata-700 001.

Memo No. 01 /RPT+RTI+Enclo

Dated \_\_\_\_\_

R- 01/24

From: The Addl. Commissioner of Police (I), Kolkata  
& Appellate Authority (RTI),  
Kolkata Police.

To: The Deputy Commissioner of Police,

Appellate Authority (RTI)  
Traffic Department, Kolkata Police.

Sub: Information sought for under RTI Act 2005.

Ref: Commissioner's notification No: 01/RPT+RTI(R-4989/16) dated 30.11.2016,  
Published in the KPG dated 02.12.2016, 05.12.2016 & 06.12.2016.

Enclosed please find the first appeal petition/petitions in original /copy of the following applicant/applicants on the subject cited above. The same is /are sent to your end on the point of jurisdiction.

You are requested to arrange for disposal of this case /these cases as per proviso of the RTI Act, 2005.

Sl. No.	Name	ID No.
	<u>Sayanta Das</u>	<u>1396953</u>

This refers to this office earlier Memo No.s

Dated

Sdt

for Addl. Commissioner of Police (I), Kolkata  
& Appellate Authority (RTI),  
Kolkata Police.

Memo No. 2850 /RPT+RTI  
R- 07/24

Dated 16/02/24

Copy to: Sayanta Das of 399/A, A.C. Road, Indraprastha  
Berhampore, Dist - Muzaffabad, Pin - 742103.

for information and to make further correspondence with the addressee, if so desired. Moreover, it is requested to visit the Kolkata Police, Website ([www.kolkatapolice.gov.in](http://www.kolkatapolice.gov.in)) for the details of the different divisional Appellate Authorities, State Public information Officers and State Public Information officers of Kolkata Police.

This refers to this office earlier Memo No.s

Dated

16/02/24

for Addl. Commissioner of Police (I), Kolkata  
& Appellate Authority (RTI),  
Kolkata Police.

Sayanta Das  
16/02/24

Government of West Bengal  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Traffic Department, Kolkata,  
18, Lalbazar Street, Kolkata-700001.

Memo No. TP/PA/1/82621

Dated: 08/03/2024

From- The Deputy Commissioner of Police &  
Appellate Authority (RTI),  
Traffic Department, Kolkata Police,  
18, Lalbazar Street, Kolkata-700001.

To- Sayanta Das  
399/A, A.C. Road,  
Indraprastha, Berhampore,  
P.O.-Khagra,  
Dist.-Murshidabad,  
Pin-742103.

Ref: Your First Appeal Petition dated 08.02.2024 received by this office on  
19.02.2024.

Sub: Appeal Petition U/S-19(1) RTI Act,2005.

In reference to the above, it is to inform that you have already been apprised with your desired information vide memo no. SPIO/TP/RTI/08/24 Dated 31.01.2024 (copy enclosed) by the State Public Information Officer, Traffic Department, Kolkata Police.



Deputy Commissioner of Police  
& Appellate Authority (RTI),  
Traffic Department, Kolkata Police.

Dy. Commissioner of Police  
Traffic Department, Kolkata

*Sayanta Das*  
*28/03/2024*

Registered Post.

Government of West Bengal  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
Traffic Department, Kolkata,  
18, Lalbazar Street, Kolkata-700001.

Memo No. SPIO/TP/RTI/08/24

Dated-31.01.2024.

From- Sri Ujjal Mukherjee,  
Assistant Commissioner of Police (XVI)  
& State Public Information Officer  
Traffic Department, Kolkata Police,  
18, Lalbazar Street, Kolkata-700001.

To- Sayanta Das  
399/A, A.C. Road,  
Indraprastha, Berhampore,  
P.O.-Khagra,  
Dist.-Murshidabad.

Sub: Information sought for under RTI Act, 2005.

Ref: Your RTI petition dated-30.12.2023 received by this office on 04.01.2024.

In reference to the above, furnishing the reply underneath on the basis of the report furnished by the Reserve Officer and Treasurer of Traffic Department, Kolkata Police.

Q.3. a) Current Rank-Police Driver and present posting at Traffic Department, Kolkata Police.  
Q.3. b) Gross Salary for the month of December, 2019 of Kashinath Maji is Rs.50833/-  
Q.3. c) Exempted U/S 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Date- 31.01.2024.

U 31.01.24.  
(UJJAL MUKHERJEE))

Assistant Commissioner of Police (XVI)

&

State Public Information Officer  
Traffic Department, Kolkata Police.

State Public Information Officer  
Traffic Department, Kolkata Police.

Sayanta Das  
28/01/2024

To  
The P/c

Berhamptone, P/S  
Murshidabad, W/P

କରିବାକୁମାରୀ

ଦୂରଧ୍ୟାନ୍ତିବଳୀରେ କୌଣସି ମାତ୍ର ଦ୍ୱାରା, ଅଣ୍ଟା-ଦ୍ୟାନ୍ତ୍ର-  
ଦ୍ୟାନ୍ତ୍ର, ଚିତ୍ତ- ବଣନିବଳ୍ପିତାନିମ୍ବ,  
ଦ୍ୟାନ୍ତ୍ର- ତିର୍ଯ୍ୟକ, ପଦ୍ମ- ଚନ୍ଦ୍ରନାନ୍ଦାନ୍ତ୍ର,  
ଅନନ୍ତ- ଶିର୍ଦ୍ଦୟନବ୍ୟାପ୍ତିତ୍ର,  
ଦେଖି- ହାତଦ୍ରୁଷ, (୭୨୨୨୨୮).

ଫରାମନ୍ତର

ଗାଁରେ ବିଶ୍ଵାସ କରିବାକୁ ନାହିଁ ।

୧୮/୦୨/୨୦୨୨ ଜାରିଥିଲା ମିଶନ ରେସ୍ଟୁ ଭାବାରି ମାତ୍ରମେଲା  
-ଶିକ୍ଷା-ବିତ୍ତ-କିମ୍ବା ମାନିଙ୍ଗ, ଅଭ୍ୟାସକାଳୀନିତିକିମ୍ବା- ବିଶ୍ୱାସପୂର୍ବ  
-ମିଶନ ଭାବାରିକାଳୀନିତି- କେବଳ ଜାତୀୟ- ରାଜାନ୍ତରାଜ୍ୟ ହାରି, ଅଭ୍ୟାସକାଳୀନିତି  
- ପ୍ରାଚୀନାଙ୍କ ପ୍ରମତ୍ତ ବିଭାଗରେବେ, କୁରାକୁରାକାର୍ଯ୍ୟରେବେ

ଅଧ୍ୟାତ୍ମିକ ପାଠକାଳ - ୧୫ ମେଟ୍ରି) - ପାଠକାଳ, ପାଠକାଳ - ୮. (୧୦ ମାତ୍ର) - କ୍ଷେତ୍ରକୁ ବିଭିନ୍ନ ପାଠକାଳ ରହିଥାଏଇବା ପାଠକାଳ ରହିଥାଏଇବା

Received on 26/06/2022 at  
13:45 hrs and started  
Berhampore P.S case No -  
842/2022 dated 26/06/2022  
U.L.S - 998A/325/34  
S.P. + 318 D.P.R. 6

Inspector-in-charge, Muzaffarpur Police Station, Bihar - 800001  
Murshidabad (W.B.)

ମୁଖ୍ୟ ପାଇଁ କାମିଦିନରେ ଆମରିକା ଅତ୍ୟନ୍ତ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ ଆମରିକାର ଅତ୍ୟନ୍ତ ପରିବର୍ତ୍ତନ

୨୫/୦୫/୨୦୨୨ - ବୋଲିଯା ବୋଲିଯାମାନିକ ମୁଖ୍ୟମନ୍ତ୍ରୀଙ୍କ ମାତ୍ରାଙ୍କ ବୋଲି  
ମୁଖ୍ୟମାନ ସମ୍ବିଦ୍ୟା - କାନ୍ଦିରାଳିଙ୍କ - ଭାବାତ ପରିଯାମ ବ୍ୟାକିଳିଙ୍କ -

Sayana Des  
as before

SEARCHED TRUE COPY

P.T.O.

## ପାତାର ବିଦ୍ୟାମନ୍ଦିର

୧/ ପ୍ରାଚୀନ୍ତ ଗ୍ରନ୍ଥ  
ଲିପି - ପ୍ରାଚୀନ୍ତ ଗ୍ରନ୍ଥ  
୨/ ଶ୍ରୀଶକ୍ତିର ଗ୍ରନ୍ଥ  
ଲିପି - ଶ୍ରୀଶକ୍ତିର ଗ୍ରନ୍ଥ  
୩/ ବନୀ ଦ୍ୱାରା  
ଲିପି - ବନୀ ଦ୍ୱାରା  
୪/ ଅମ୍ବି - ପ୍ରାଚୀନ୍ତ ଗ୍ରନ୍ଥ  
୫/ - ଚମ୍ପିଲିତା ଗ୍ରନ୍ଥ  
ଲିପି - ଚମ୍ପିଲିତା ଗ୍ରନ୍ଥ  
୬/ ଶ୍ରୀଶକ୍ତିର ଗ୍ରନ୍ଥ  
ଲିପି - ଶ୍ରୀଶକ୍ତିର ଗ୍ରନ୍ଥ  
ଶ୍ରୀଶକ୍ତି - ତ ପାଠ / ଅ. ଏମ. ବି. - ଲୋତ  
ଶାଶ୍ଵତ, ମାତ୍ର - ପଞ୍ଚକାଳୀପ୍ରତି  
କର୍ତ୍ତା - ଶ୍ରୀଶକ୍ତିର ଗ୍ରନ୍ଥ

## ଶ୍ରୀକୃତ୍ୟାମୁଖ ଶିତ୍ତ-

Manita May Day

H. Mo. 8695081593

-9933676283

Sayanta Das  
28/03/2024

(5)

West Bengal Form No. 5363  
B.P Form No. 38

Statement u/s 161 Cr.P.C

Page No.....  
Case Diary Under Section 172 Cr. P.C  
(Regulation 264)

Name of the P.S.-Berhampore Case No- 842/2022 Date. 26/06/2022 Section. 498(A)/325/34 IPC & 3/4 D.P. Act .

**STATEMENT UNDER SECTION 161 Cr.P.C FORWARDED HEREWITH**

Statement No.

Name of the person- Kashinath Majhi  
Father's/Husband's Name- S/O Lt. Pran Krishna Majhi  
Address- of Akna, PO-Sonagachi, PS-Udaynarayanpur, Dist-Howrah.

আমি কাশিনাথ মাঝী পিতা মৃত প্রাণকৃত মাঝী বাড়ী আকনা, পোঁ সোনাগাছী, থানা-উদয়নারায়ন পুর, জেলা-হাওড়া। আপনার জিজ্ঞাসায় জানায় যে, মৌমিতা দাস (মাঝী) আমার মেয়ের বিয়ে দিয়েছিলাম বহরমপুর থানার অন্তর্গত ৩৯/A.S.E Road, খাগড়া নিবাসী সুখদেব দাসের ছেলে সায়ন্ত দাসের সঙ্গে প্রায় দেড় বছর আগে, বিয়ে হয়েছিল হিন্দু রীতি অনুযায়ী প্রচুর দান সামগ্রী দিয়েছিলাম, সোনার গহনা ১৫ ভরি, আসবাব পত্র। আমার মেয়েকে জামাই সায়ন্ত দাস, শৃঙ্খল সুখদেব দাস, শাশুড়ী বনি দাস ও ননদ সৌমিতা দাস সকলের বাড়ি খাগড়ার ৩৯/A.S.E Road এরা সকলে মিলে অতিরিক্ত পনের জন্য আমার মেয়ের শারীরিক ও মানসিক নির্যাতন করে। আমার মেয়ে ডিভোর্স হয়েছে জানার পরেও জামাই সায়ন্ত দাসে আমার মেয়েকে বিয়ে করে। আমার মেয়ে আমাদের ফোন করলে ওকে বিবাদীরা গালিগালাজ করত, বাড়িতে আটকে রাখত ঠিকমত থেতে পড়তে দিত না।। গত ইং ১৮/০৫/২০২২ তারিখ অনুমানিক সকাল ১০ টা নাগাদ আমার মেয়েকে ওরা মারধর করে বাড়ি থেকে বের করে দেয়। আজ ইং ২৬/০৬/২২ সকাল ১১ টা নাগাদ আমি আমার স্বামী আমার মেয়েকে সংসার করার জন্য তার শৃঙ্খল বাড়ী নিয়ে আসলে বিবাদীরা আমাদের উপর ও আমার মেয়ের উপর চড়াও হয় মারধর করে। মার খেয়ে চোয়কার করলে আশেপাশের লোকজন আমাদের ও আমার মেয়েকে উদ্বার করে।

Recorded by me


*Sudip Chatterjee*

ASI of Police  
Berhampore PS, Murshidabad PD  
Dtd. 26/06/2022

Sudip Chatterjee  
26/06/2022

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

*Sudip Chatterjee*  
ASI of Police



Date of Application for the copy	date fixed for nothing the requisite No. of stamps & folios.	date of delivery of the requisite stamps &	date on which the copy was ready for delivery.	date of making over the copy the applicant.
14-1-61	12-1-61	20-1-61	20-1-61	20-1-61

Order Sheet, dated from :  
District Murshidabad

ORDER SHEET  
(Rule 129 of the Record Manual, 1917)

Order Sheet, dated from :  
District Murshidabad  
Nature of the case..... Moulimata Maghi Am. Vs. Sayyidna, Sadar

Serial No. & Date of Order	Order and signature of Officer	Note of action taken on order
26/8/2	<p>Petitioner appears through Ld. Adv.</p> <p>Submitted petition for recovery of articles U/s 94 CR, P.C.</p> <p>Perused the petition and considered.</p> <p>O.C. <u>Berhampore</u>..... P.S. is directed to recover the articles and keep under Police custody with seizure list.</p> <p>Next hearing date to ..... 27/01/23 .....</p>	<p>P.R. - 4568 Dt. 26.08.2</p>
23/9/2	<p>C.R. is put on record of 16th Petition and O.P. 14 and 2 (Cancelled)</p> <p>16th, directed to report received 2 from 1/1, Berhampore P.S. Viz. D &amp; M 12215/2 2319/2 is received.</p> <p>It appears that petitioner and O.C. are the owner of the seized articles.</p> <p>as per "documents and records". The 1/1, Berhampore P.S. is directed to arrange to handover the seized articles to the owner of the both parties proper identification as per documents and records. The C.R. is filed.</p>	<p>P.R. - 5299 Dt. 27/09/23</p>



Sub-Divisional Magistrate  
Sadar, Berhampore, Murshidabad

XEROX BY

Ally  
21/1/2021  
Sayyida Das  
28/03/2021




Compared and found to be  
Correctly Stamped  
Arasun Kumar Mondal  
Compering Clerk 10-1-1981  
Murshidabad Collectorate

Certified to be a True Copy  
Anup Kumar Das  
Record Keeper 10-1-1981  
Murshidabad Collectorate  
Authorised Under Section-76  
Act-1 of 1872







*Suborno  
and friends*

breach of trust refusing to deliver the following stridhan articles:-

1. Gold chain weighing 20.150 Gms.
2. Gold Necklace weighing 43.430 Gms.
3. Gold Churr
4. Gold made Noah
5. Gold made Sankha;
6. 1 Pair Gold Ear Rings;
7. Gold made Pola Bandhano;
  
8. Gold Chain for Bridegroom upon demand of dowry by the four accused persons;
9. Diamond Ring for Bridegroom upon demand of dowry by the four accused persons;
10. Cash of Rs.2,00,000/- as per dowry demand by the four accused persons;
11. 2 Brass made plates;
12. 2 Brass made bowls;
13. 1 Brass made pot;
14. 1 Pilsuj and 1 Pradip;
15. 1 Ghoti;
16. 1 Trolley Bag;
17. 14 costly Sarees, Blouses, Pettycoats;
18. 1 Carpet;
19. 1 Costly wooden Almirah full of articles belonging to Moumita Majhi Das;
20. 1 Cot along-with mattress;
21. 1 Dressing table.



*Soyanta Das  
08/08/2014*

XEROX BY

*RK  
20/08/14*



Compared and found to be  
Correctly Stamped


Prasen Kumar Mandal  
Compering Clerk  
Murshidabad Collectorate

Certified to be a True Copy

Anup Kumar Das

Record Keeper  
Murshidabad Collectorate  
Authorised Under Section-76  
Act-1 of 1872

# SEARCH / SEIZURE LIST



Ref. No. 1739 Dated: 22/09/2022  
 Berhampore P.S. G.D.E./Case No. ....

U.S. 96 Cr. S.C. Misc. Case No. - 33/6/22 PR 4568 Dt - 26.08.21

1. Date and Hour of Seizure: On 22/09/2022 in between 11/45 AM  
 To 14/15 hrs
2. Place of Seizure: - In The house of Sayanta Das  
 S/o - Sukdeb Das of 399/A A. C. Road (N) P. Berhampore
3. From whom Seized: - Sayanta Das S/o - Sukdeb Das of  
 399/A A. C. Road (N) P. Berhampore, Dist - Murshidabad
4. Name & Address of Witnesses:

Mamta Das  
Mamta  
Das  
Mamta

- a) Madhab M. w/o - Kashinath Majhi Both  
 212/21/2021 (N) 300 (T) 15 (W) 3 (H) 1
- b) Kashinath Majhi S/o - Lt. Pavan Krishna Majhi Both  
 212/21/2021 (N) 300 (T) 15 (W) 3 (H) 1
- c) Sukdeb Das S/o - H. J. Banik Krishna Das Both  
 212/21/2021 (N) 300 (T) 15 (W) 3 (H) 1
- d) Bani Das S/o - Sukdeb Das Both  
 212/21/2021 (N) 300 (T) 15 (W) 3 (H) 1

5. Articles Seized:
  - ① Gold Chur 2121 (N) 300 (T) 15 (W) 3 (H) 1
  - ② Sankha 2121 (N) 300 (T) 15 (W) 3 (H) 1
  - ③ A 20 Gram (N) 300 (T) 15 (W) 3 (H) 1
  - Ring ④ Pola Bandhan 2121 (N) 300 (T) 15 (W) 3 (H) 1
  - 10 - 902 (N) 300 (T) 15 (W) 3 (H) 1
  - Ring ⑤ 2 Gold made Plates 10 - 902 (N) 300 (T) 15 (W) 3 (H) 1
  - ⑥ 2 Brass made bowl ⑦ 1 Brass made Pot ⑧ 1 Copper and  
 Pradip ⑨ 1 Hot ⑩ 1 Trolley Bag ⑪ 16 Costly Saree,  
 Blouse ⑫ 1 Carpet ⑬ 1 Costly Wooden Almirah full of  
 articles for Mourning Majhi Das ⑭ 1 Cot along with Mattress  
 ⑮ 1 Dressing Table ⑯ 1 Camera 3/20 (L) 45 (D) 30 (W)  
 30 (H) 20 (T) 30 (S) 30 (C) 30 (D) 30 (W) 30 (H) 30 (T) 30 (S)

6. Signature / L.T.I. Witness:

- a) Madhab M. Majhi
- b) Kali Nat Majhi
- c) Sukdeb Das
- d) Bani Das

7. Signature / L.T.I. of person whom seized  
 Inscribing "A Copy of the seizure list" is  
 made over to the person from whom  
 Seized after obtaining his signature / L.T.I.  
 In presence of above noted witnesses.

Sayanta Das  
20/03/2022

Sayanta Das

This Seized articles are  
 alamat - G. No above  
 noted reference and  
 kept in Berhampore  
 PS Mulkhama as per  
 order of (d. Court).

Seized / Prepared by me  
 Sudip Chakraborty  
 Asst. of Police  
 Berhampore PS  
 Dist - Murshidabad  
 Date - 22/09/2022

**In the Court of the Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate, at Howrah**

(Now transferred to the Ld. 4<sup>TH</sup> Court of Judicial Magistrate at Howrah)  
Misc. Case No.861 of 2022

*S/133*

Smt. Moumita Das nee Maji.....Petitioner.

///Versus//

Sri Sayanta Das + Ors.....Opposite Parties.

To

- 1) Sri Sayanta Das,  
Son of Sri Sukdeb Das,
- 2) Sri Sukdeb Das,  
Son of Late Jibon Krishna Das,
- 3) Smt. Bani Das,  
Wife of Sri Sukdeb Das,
- 4) Miss Soumita Das,  
Daughter of Sri Sukdeb Das,  
No.1 to 4 all are resident of 399/A, Amar Chakraborty Road,  
P.O. Indraprastha, P.S. Berhampore, District Murshidabad, Pin-742103,  
Opposite Party No.1 presently works for gain at Gokarna CCC,  
WBSEDC, P.O. Gokarna, P.S. Kandi, District Murshidabad, Pin-742136.

**NOTICE**

Take notice that the petitioner above named filed the instant case before the Ld. Court for getting maintenance and other reliefs under Sec.12 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence act, 2005.

You are hereby asked to appear before the Ld. Court on *09-03* 2023 at 10.30 a.m. personally or through your Ld. Advocate.

Given under my hands and seal on this the *28/12/2022* day of December, 2022.

*2<sup>nd</sup> Court of Ld. Judicial Magistrate, Howrah.*

*Sayanta Das  
28/12/2022*

**JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE**  
1st. Class 4th. Court, Howrah



In the Court of the Lt. Chief Judicial Magistrate at Howrah.

transferred to Lt. C.J.M. Howrah

Miscellaneous Case No. 86 of 2022

In the matter of :

An application under Section 12 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005.

And

In the matter of :

**SMT. MOUMITA DAS nee MAJI,**

Wife of Sri Sayanta Das; Daughter of Sri Kashinath Maji, by faith Hindu, by occupation- Housewife, of Akna Dwitiya, Post Office-Sonagachi, Police Station-Udaynarayanpur, District Howrah, Pin-711226 and presently residing at 36, 'D' Road, Bamungachi, Post Office-Salkia, District-Howrah, Pin-711106.

..... Petitioner/Aggrieved person.

//Versus//

1. **SRI SAYANTA DAS,**

Son of Sri Sukdeb Das,

2. **SRI SUKDEB DAS,**

Son of Late Jiban Krishna Das.

3. **SMT. BANI DAS,**

Wife of Sri Sukdeb Das.

4. **MISS. SOUMITA DAS,**

Daughter of Sri Sukdeb Das,

All are residents of 399/A, Amar Chakraborty Road, Post Office Indraprastha, Police Station- Berhampore, District- Murshidabad, Pin-742103.

Respondent No. 1 presently works for gain at Gokarna CCC, WBSEDCL, P.O. Gokarna, P.S. Kandi, District- Murshidabad, Pin-742136.

..... Respondents/Opposite Parties.

Sayanta Das  
9/10/2022

**In the matter of :**

Reliefs under Sections 18, 19, 20, 22, 23 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005.

And  
In the matter of :

An application filed by the petitioner/aggrieved person.

The above named petitioner/aggrieved person begs to state as follows :

1. That Your Petitioner/Aggrieved person is the legally married wife of the Respondent No. 1 and their marriage was solemnized on 18.01.2021 as per Hindu rites and customs upon observing all formalities at the father's house of the Petitioner/Aggrieved person at Akna Dwitiya, Post Office-Sonagachi, Police Station-Udaynarayanpur, District Howrah, and the Bou-Bhat Ceremony was held at the address of the Respondents, and after completion of marriage the petitioner/aggrieved person started to reside with the Respondent No. 1 as husband and wife at his address, with a hope to lead happy conjugal life.
2. That the Opposite Party No. 2 is father-in-law, Opposite Party no. 3 is mother-in-law, Opposite parties No. 4 is the sister-in- law of the petitioner/aggrieved person.
3. That it is pertinent to mentioned here that at the time of marriage the marital status of the Petitioner/Aggrieved person was divorcee. Initially she got married with one Sujit Maji on 28.04.2018, and the said marriage was a negotiated one and soon after the marriage, some untoward incidents compelled the Petitioner to dissolved the said marriage tie by a decree of mutual divorce passed by the Ld. District Judge, Howrah in Matrimonial Suit No. 644 of 2019.
4. That the Petitioner and the Respondent No. 1 on or about in the first part of August, 2019, came to contact with each other through Facebook chatting, and the Respondent used to meet with the Petitioner once in every month, and subsequently they fell in love with each other in spite of having full information about the earlier matrimonial facts of the Petitioner. Subsequently the said matter was disclosed to both the families, and as per the version of the Respondent No. 1 his parents i.e. the Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 did not accepted the said relation and later on they were agreed.

Soyanta Das  
28/3/2024

5. That afterwards in the month of January, 2020, the Respondent No. 1 along with the Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 as well as other relatives came to the house of father of the Petitioner for negotiation of marriage in between the Petitioner and the Respondent No. 1, and accordingly the date of marriage was fixed in presence of both families, and at that time the Respondents did not press for dowry, though the father of the petitioner gave gold ornaments weighing about 300 grams, other domestic articles etc., and initially they pretended to be satisfied on that very material point of time. Apart from that the father of the Petitioner/Aggrieved persons had to give the sum of Rs. 1,60,000/- for purchasing wooden Cot and other furniture. The Petitioner craves leave of the Ld. Court to file the related documents at the time of hearing.

6. That after completion of marriage, the petitioner/aggrieved person started to reside with the Respondent No. 1 as husband and wife at the address of the Respondents, with a hope to lead happy conjugal life, but after completion of 2 or 3 months of the marriage, the dream of the petitioner/aggrieved persons came to an end owing to further demand of cash amount and valuable furniture by the opposite parties, and day after day pressure upon the petitioner/aggrieved person was created, and even she was physically assaulted by the opposite parties, and they off and on abused the filthy languages mentioning her earlier marriage in presence of the outsiders in order to degrade the importance of the aggrieved person in the family, and she was treated as maid servant in the family and the Respondents forced her to perform all household works including the cleaning of bathroom and toilets which was strenuous for the petitioner, and even she was not provided proper food and even they used to threat to drove away the aggrieved person upon labeling false wild allegations, and ultimately the same was increased day to day, resulting the petitioner became the victim of inhuman torture both mentally and physically. She was not behaved properly and sometimes she was confined in a room and they always threatened the Petitioner not to inform their such ill-acts to her parents, and off and on asked the petitioner to bring money from her father. The aggrieved person/petitioner being the newly married woman, tried her level best to adjust with them initially without involving her parents to interfere, but all her efforts were drowned into the tears of eyes and ultimately she was compelled to inform her parents who also consoled the aggrieved person with saying that it would be better to compromise for the betterment as all such sorts of problems would be solved in near future, and ultimately the father of the Petitioner

Sayanta Das  
28/03/2024

for the sake to peace had to give the sum of Rs. 4,00,000/- either in cash or through bank on different times to the Respondents. Thereafter again with new hopes and advice of the parents, the aggrieved person/petitioner tried her level best to make the Respondents pleased, but few days after the opposite parties further demanded huge amount of money for construction of house, and as and when the aggrieved person expressed her disabilities due to her father's monetary condition, the Respondents started increasing mental torture upon her, and she was threatened to murder.

7. That the Petitioner/Aggrieved persons further states that the Respondent No. 1 at the instigation of the other Respondents used to make demand for the cost of different tours and the said demands were also mostly met by the father of the Petitioner for the sake of his daughter. But the mental torture was still maintained and it went extreme after returning from Sikkim Tour in 2022 when the Petitioner came to know that due to non-fulfillment of all demands of the Respondent No. 1 sold out the gold chain of the Petitioner for the cost of Sikkim tour, and made protest over the said illegal acts of the Respondent No. 1. Subsequently on 18-05-2022, the Petitioner/Aggrieved Persons became very much ill, but the Respondents did not provide any medical treatment, rather they physically assaulted the Petitioner, for which the Petitioner was compelled to take shelter at her paternal house. After recovery from her illness, in order to lead happy conjugal life, the Petitioner along with her parents on 26-06-2022 at about 11:00 a.m. reached at the house of the Respondents, but unfortunately the Respondents rushed upon the petitioner and her parents upon abusing filthy languages and assaulted the petitioner and tried to squeeze the throat of the Petitioner to murder, and on utter crying the public came and rescued her and her primary medical treatment was done.
8. That after such incident the Petitioner/Aggrieved person was compelled to inform the same before the local Berhampore Police Station in writing and a case vide Berhampore P.S. Case No. 842/2022 dated 26.06.2022 has been initiated against the Respondents which is still pending, and subsequently got back some article through search warrants.
9. That after such incident, the Aggrieved person/petitioner is compelled to live at her father's house with broken heart, with a few hopes that the Respondent No. 1 would come to take her, unfortunately months passed by but none of the Respondents had shown any sorts of interest to that effect and in the mean time

*Soyanta Das*  
28/03/2024

several attempts made by the Aggrieved person/Petitioner and her parents and relatives, but all attempts reduced into ashes due to adamant nature of the Respondents, and since then the aggrieved person on compelled circumstances has been residing at her maternal aunty's house at 36, 'D' Road, Bamungachi, Post Office-Salkia, District-Howrah, Pin-711106, and has been passing her daily life at the mercy of her father and relatives.

10. That the aggrieved person/petitioner submits that she has no source of income of her own. The Respondent No. 1 is now an employee of the West Bengal State Electric Distribution Co. Ltd., and now posted at Gokarna CCC, WBSEDCL, P.O.- Gokarna, P.S. Kandi, District-Murshidabad, Pin-742136, and earns Rs. 60,000/- per month as salary, and apart from that he is a wealthy man having huge properties and financial resources.
11. That the aggrieved person also has no alternative shelter of her own and the house of the Respondent No. 1 which is also the shared household of the aggrieved person consists of several rooms, and all the opposite parties reside in the said building, and the aggrieved person used to live in the said house.
12. That the aggrieved person is a victim of domestic violence and she needs urgent protection order, residential relief and monitory reliefs as well.
13. That the petition is made bonafide and for ends of justice.

Hence it is prayed that Your Honour may be pleased to pass orders in favour of the aggrieved person as follows:

*Sognate Dos  
12/10/2004*

- A) An order for calling Domestic Incident Report from the Protection Officer.
- B) An order under Section 18 PWDV Act restraining the Respondents from committing and/or abating or aiding in the commission of domestic violence insult, humiliation or threats to the petitioner/aggrieved person.
- C) An order under Section 19 PWDV Act directing the Respondents to allow the petitioner/aggrieved person to live at the matrimonial home at 399/A, Amar Chakraborty Road, Post Office-Indraprastha, Police Station- Berhampore, District- Murshidabad, Pin- 742103,

ALTERNATIVELY to pay Rs. 7,000/- per month for rent of alternate accommodation.

AND

Restraining the respondents from selling disposing the said matrimonial house or renouncing his right, title-share and interest therein.

AND

Directing the Respondents to return to the Petitioner all her Stridhan properties.

AND

Directing the Inspector-in-Charge, Berhampore Police Station to assist the Petitioner in implementing the order of this Lt. Court and render her all sorts of protection.

AND

Directing the Respondents to execute Bond for such amount and for such period, as this Lt. Court may think fit for preventing domestic violence.

D) An order under Section 20 PWDV Act directing the Respondents to pay the petitioner at least 20,000/- per month for her maintenance and medical expenses and Rs. 50,000/- for litigation cost.

E) An Order under Section 22 PWDV Act directing the Respondents to pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs. 20,00,000/- as compensation for the loss and damages caused to the petitioner due to mental and physical tortures, cruelties, domestic violence, insult, humiliation, abuses and emotional distress caused by the Respondents.

F) An order under Sec. 23 of the PWDV Act directing the Respondent No. 1 to pay the sum of Rs. 15,000/- per month to the petitioner towards interim maintenance till disposal of the instant case.

G) Any other relief or reliefs as Your Honour may deem fit and proper.

And for this Act of Kindness Your Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

*Soyanta Das  
28/3/2021*

Contd.....

AFFIDAVIT

I, SMT. MOUMITA DAS nee MAJI, Wife of Sri Sayanta Das, Daughter of Sri Kashinath Maji, aged about years, by faith Hindu, by occupation- Housewife, of Akna Dwitiya, Post Office-Sonagachi, Police Station- Udaynarayanpur, District Howrah, Pin-711226, presently residing at 36, 'D' Road, Bamungachi, Post Office-Salkia, District-Howrah, Pin- 711106, do hereby solemnly declare and affirm as follows :-

- a) That I am the aggrieved person/ petitioner of this case and well conversant with the facts and circumstances of the case.
- b) That the statements made in the petition are true to my knowledge and belief.

Read over & explained in Bengali to the Deponent who admitted it to be correct.  
Prepared in my sherista.

Deponent  
Known to me, identified by me and signed in my presence.

Advocate.

Advocate

Sayanta Das  
28/3/2024



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA  
INSTITUTE OF SECRETARIAT TRAINING & MANAGEMENT  
DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL & TRAINING  
(AN ISO 9001:2015 INSTITUTION)



Sat, Nov 25, 2023  
Skip to Main Content

A

Search Here

[Home](#) > [About RTI Portal](#) > [RTI Act & RTI Rules](#) > [Judgments](#) > [RTI Circulars/ Guidelines](#) > [Programmes on RTI](#) > [Website](#)

[RTI](#) >> [Judgments](#) >> [CIC](#) >> [Disposal of Request](#)

Supreme Court (Disposal of Requests) / High Courts (Disposal of Requests)

S.No.	CIC CASE	DATE OF JUDGMENT	JUDGMENT
76	CIC/CCA/2015/012240/SA (256.97 KB) [4]	02 Mar. 2016	<p><b>Vogender Kumar vs Department of Higher Education</b></p> <p><b>Section 7(1) Supply of Information:</b> Claim of missing file by the public authority.</p> <p>The Commission held that it cannot accept the claim for non-availability of file. The RTI Act does not provide any exemption from disclosure of information on the ground of missing files unless proved that record was destroyed as per the prescribed rules of destruction/retention policy. It is deemed that record continues to be held by public authority. Claim of file missing or not traceable is not acceptable. By practice, missing file cannot be read into as exception in addition to exceptions prescribed by RTI Act. It also amounts to breach of Public Records Act, 1993 and punishable with imprisonment up to a term of five years or with fine or both. Public Authority has a duty to initiate action for loss of less or public record, in the form of 'not traceable' or 'missing'. The Public Authority also has a duty to designate an officer as Records Officer and protect the records.</p> <p>The Commission would be compelled to initiate penal action under section 20 of RTI Act against the incharge of the custody of the documents, dealing assistant, assistant and CPIO.</p>
77	CIC/SA/2015/001406 (310.97 KB) [4]	15 Feb. 2016	<p><b>Ravinder Kumar vs Tihar Jail, New Delhi</b></p> <p><b>Section 7(1) Supply of Information:</b></p> <p>The Commission held that there is a significant public interest behind the RTI application as accused should be allowed every opportunity to prove his innocence as per the cardinal principles of criminal justice. He believes that the medical records would help him to prove that with visual deficiency he could not have killed his wife as alleged but that opportunity was denied by not certifying the medical records at first instance, destroying the records at second instance and finally denying him permission to attend the second appeal. The Commission issued the show-cause notice to the Supdt. Central Jail 2 as deemed PJO as to why penalty should not be imposed upon him. The Appellant presented documents which showed that the DCP, North District, Civil Lines has destroyed medical record of the appellant 14 days after the receipt of the RTI application. Destruction of records during pendency of RTI application would attract penalty under section 20 of the Act. The Commission further issued show-cause notice to Addl. DCP, North District why maximum penalty should not be imposed upon him for destruction of medical records of the appellant when the RTI request is pending with them.</p> <p>The Commission also directed the DGP (Prisons) to show cause why suitable compensation should not be awarded to the appellant for delaying, denying and destroying the medical records by the Public Authority.</p>

Soyanto Das  
28/3/2024

**In the Central Information Commission  
at  
New Delhi**

File No: CIC/AD/C/2010/001037

**Date (s) of Hearing: December 6 , 2010**

**Date of Decision : December 6 , 2010**

**The Respondents were heard through video conferencing**

**Parties:**

**Applicant**

Shri Om Prakash  
S/o Late Munivenkatappa  
R/at No.237, Balaji Complex  
Chandapura Circle  
Anekal Taluk  
Bangalore 560 081

Appellant - Not Present

**Respondent**

South Western Railway  
Divisional Railway Manager's Office  
Personnel Branch  
Bangalore 23

Represented by : Shri Amarnath Dubey, CPIO & Sr.DPO, at NIC Studio, Bangalore

**Third Party**

Dr.S.V.O.Chandakumar  
R/o No.362/D  
Railway Officers quarters  
Sheshadri road  
Gandhinagar  
Bangalore

Present at NIC Studio, Bangalore

**Information Commissioner : Mrs. Annapurna Dixit**

*Sayantika Das  
9/12/2010*

**Decision Notice**

The Commission on careful consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case holds that the information being held by the Public Authority on immovable assets of an employee cannot be termed as 'personal' and hence directs the PIO to provide the information as available with the Public Authority to the Complainant.

**In the Central Information Commission  
at  
New Delhi**

File No: CIC/AD/C/2010/001037

**Background**

1. The Applicant filed an RTI application dt.25.3.10 with the PIO, Southern Railway, Bangalore seeking certified copy annual declaration of assets and liabilities of Dr.S.V.O.Chandakumar. Shri Amar nath Dube, The CPIO replied on 12.4.10 stating that the information sought pertains to 'Third Party' and as per provisions of Sec.11(1) of the RTI Act, the third party had been asked to submit whether or not to provide the information to the Applicant and that the third party had denied disclosure of information. Not satisfied with the reply, the Applicant filed a second appeal dt.7.7.10 before CIC.

**Decision**

2. The third party was present during the hearing. The Commission on careful consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case holds that the information being held by the Public Authority on immovable assets of an employee cannot be termed as 'personal' and hence directs the PIO to provide the information as available with the Public Authority to the Complainant by 10.1.11. The Complainant to submit a compliance report to the Commission by 15.1.11.
3. The complaint is accordingly disposed of.

(Annapurna Dixit)  
Information Commissioner


*Suganya Das  
10/10/2011*

Authenticated true copy


(G.Subramanian)  
Deputy Registrar

Cc:

1. Shri Om Prakash  
S/o Late Munivenkatappa  
R/at No.237, Balaji Complex  
Chandapura Circle  
Anekal Taluk  
Bangalore 560 081
2. The Public Information Officer  
South Western Railway  
Divisional Railway Manager's Office  
Personnel Branch  
Bangalore 23
3. Dr.S.V.O.Chandakumar  
R/o No.362/D  
Railway Officers quarters  
Sheshadri road  
Gandhinagar  
Bangalore
4. Officer Incharge, NIC



मेरा आधार, मेरी पहचान



Sayanta Das  
28/03/2024

## Hearing on 29-01-2026

**State Chief Information Commissioner West Bengal < scic-wb@nic.in >**

Tue, 06 Jan 2026 12:07:24 PM +0530

To "jtccpcrime" <jtccpcrime@kolkatapolice.gov.in>  
Cc "sayantadas1990" <sayantadas1990@gmail.com>

---

Please find the attachment, for further details visit our website

West Bengal Information Commission

---

### 1 Attachment(s)

WBIC-RTI-A-100389-1197-202...

16.1 KB

D. NO - 75 (ATR)  
09/01/26

Written Submission i/r/o appellant Sayanta Das (Memo No. 4-WBIC/RTI/  
A/100389/1197/2025 DATED 02.01.2026 & Appeal No. 100389 of 2025)

**Report Section KPD** < report@kolkatapolice.gov.in >

Fri, 09 Jan 2026 1:53:54 PM +0530

To "State Bengal" <scic-wb@nic.in>

Sd/-  
Jt. CP(MOD) & SPIO,  
Kolkata Police.

**1 Attachment(s)**

SAYANTA DAS.pdf  
350.4 KB

Hearing - 29/01/2026

HSCIC

(SK)

Government of West Bengal  
Office of the Commissioner of Police, Kolkata,  
Report (RTI) Section,  
18, Lalbazar Street, Kolkata-700 001.

Memo No. 937 /RPT+RTI+Enclo  
R- 15/26

Dated \_\_\_\_\_

To: 1) The Deputy Commissioner of Police,  
& Appellate Authority (RTI),  
Traffic Department, Kolkata.

2) The Assistant Commissioner of Police,  
& State Public Information Officer,  
Traffic Department, Kolkata.

**Sub: Notice of Hearing**

Ref: Appeal No. 100389 of 2025 i/r/o Sayanta Das  
Under RTI Act, 2005.

Enclosed please find the self-explanatory Memo No. 4-WBIC/RTI/A/100389/1197/2025 dated 02.01.2026 in original along with its' enclosure from the end of the Section Officer, West Bengal Information Commission, Khadya Bhaban, Kolkata arose out of the Appeal No. 100389 of 2025 reference to above. Copies of this office Memo No. 295/RPT+RTI+Enclo (R-01/2024) Dated 04.01.2024 & Memo No. 2850/RPT+RTI+Enclo (R-01/2024) Dated 16.02.2024 are also annexed herewith for ready reference.

It is, therefore, requested that necessary action for compliance of the direction of the West Bengal Information Commission be taken.

Kindly treat it as extremely urgent.

*Sd/-*  
Jt. Commissioner of Police (MOD), Kolkata &  
State Public Information Officer,  
Kolkata Police.

Memo No. 937 /RPT+RTI  
R- 15/26

Dated 09/01/26

Copy forwarded to:

1) Shri Rupak Bhattacharjee, Section Officer, West Bengal Information Commission, Khadya Bhaban, 11A, Mirza Galib Street, Kolkata-700087 for information please, vide his office Memo No. 4-WBIC/RTI/A/100389/1197/2025 dated 02.01.2026 received on 06.01.2026.

2) Shri Sayanta Das S/o Sukdeb Das, 399/A, A.C. Road, Indraprastha, P.O.-Khagria, Berhampore, Dist-Murshidabad, pin-742103 email ID sayantadas1990@gmail.com for information.